

# New upper bounds for the number of divisors function

Patrick Letendre  
(joint work with Jean-Marie De Koninck)

October 3, 2015

# Introduction

# Introduction

- Let

$$\tau(n) := \sum_{d|n} 1, \quad \omega(n) := \sum_{p|n} 1, \quad \Omega(n) := \sum_{p^\alpha || n} \alpha.$$

# Introduction

- Let

$$\tau(n) := \sum_{d|n} 1, \quad \omega(n) := \sum_{p|n} 1, \quad \Omega(n) := \sum_{p^\alpha || n} \alpha.$$

- If the factorization in distinct prime factors is

$$n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k} \quad (p_1 < \cdots < p_k)$$

then we say that

$$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$$

is the *exponent vector* of  $n$ .

# Some easy facts

# Some easy facts

- The value of  $\tau(n)$  depends only on its exponent vector and is given by

$$\tau(n) = (\alpha_1 + 1) \cdots (\alpha_k + 1).$$

# Some easy facts

- The value of  $\tau(n)$  depends only on its exponent vector and is given by

$$\tau(n) = (\alpha_1 + 1) \cdots (\alpha_k + 1).$$

- For each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a constant  $C(\epsilon)$  such that

$$\tau(n) \leq C(\epsilon)n^\epsilon.$$

In fact, we have

$$C(\epsilon) := \prod_{p < 2^{1/\epsilon}} \max_{\alpha \geq 0} \frac{\alpha + 1}{p^{\alpha\epsilon}}.$$

# Maximal order



# Maximal order

- It is well known since Wigert (1907) that

$$\max_{n \leq x} \tau(n) = 2^{(1+o(1)) \frac{\log x}{\log \log x}}.$$

# Maximal order

- It is well known since Wigert (1907) that

$$\max_{n \leq x} \tau(n) = 2^{(1+o(1)) \frac{\log x}{\log \log x}}.$$

- Nicolas and Robin (1983) have shown that

$$\tau(n) \leq 2^{\eta_1 \frac{\log n}{\log \log n}} \quad \text{for each } n \geq 3$$

where  $\eta_1 := 1.53793986 \dots$  with equality only for  $n = 6983776800$ .

# Using the arithmetic geometric mean inequality

# Using the arithmetic geometric mean inequality

- We have

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha_1 + 1) \cdots (\alpha_k + 1) &\leq \left( \frac{\alpha_1 + 1 + \cdots + \alpha_k + 1}{k} \right)^k \\ &= \left( \frac{k + \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k}{k} \right)^k.\end{aligned}$$

## Using the arithmetic geometric mean inequality

- We have

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha_1 + 1) \cdots (\alpha_k + 1) &\leq \left( \frac{\alpha_1 + 1 + \cdots + \alpha_k + 1}{k} \right)^k \\ &= \left( \frac{k + \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k}{k} \right)^k.\end{aligned}$$

- Thus

$$\tau(n) \leq \left( 1 + \frac{\Omega(n)}{\omega(n)} \right)^{\omega(n)} \quad (n \geq 2).$$

# A consequence

# A consequence

- One can show that

$$|\{n \leq x : \Omega(n) \geq \alpha \omega(n)\}| \ll x(\log \log x)(\log x)^{2^{1-\alpha}-1}.$$

# A consequence

- One can show that

$$|\{n \leq x : \Omega(n) \geq \alpha \omega(n)\}| \ll x(\log \log x)(\log x)^{2^{1-\alpha}-1}.$$

- We deduce that for each  $\epsilon > 0$  we have

$$2^{\omega(n)} \leq \tau(n) \leq (2 + \epsilon)^{\omega(n)}$$

for almost all  $n \leq x$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .



# Best possible inequalities 1

## Theorem

For every integer  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\tau(n) \leq \left( \frac{\eta_2 \log n}{\omega(n) \log_+ \omega(n)} \right)^{\omega(n)},$$

where

$$\eta_2 := \exp\left(\frac{1}{6} \log 96 - \log\left(\frac{\log 60060}{6 \log 6}\right)\right) = 2.0907132 \dots$$

and  $\log_+(z) := \log(\max(2, z))$ .

## Best possible inequalities 2

## Theorem

For every integer  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\tau(n) \leq \left( 1 + \eta_3 \frac{\log n}{\omega(n) \log_+ \omega(n)} \right)^{\omega(n)}$$

where

$$\eta_3 := \frac{(1152^{1/7} - 1)7 \log 7}{\log 367567200} = 1.1999953 \dots$$

# An inequality for large integers

## Theorem

For every integer  $n > 782139803452561073520$ ,

$$\tau(n) < \left( \frac{2 \log n}{\omega(n) \log_+ \omega(n)} \right)^{\omega(n)}.$$

Moreover, the inequality remains true for all  $n \geq 2$  with  $\omega(n) \leq 3$ .

# The main result

## Theorem

For every positive integer  $n$  with  $\omega(n) \geq 74$ ,

$$\tau(n) < \left(1 + \frac{\log n}{\omega(n) \log \omega(n)}\right)^{\omega(n)}.$$

# Comments on the result 1

# Comments on the result 1

- We introduce the function  $\lambda(n)$  defined implicitly by

$$\tau(n) = \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda(n) \log n}{\omega(n) \log \omega(n)} \right)^{\omega(n)},$$

when  $\omega(n) \geq 2$ . Therefore, for each integer  $n \geq 2$  with  $\omega(n) \geq 2$ , we set

$$\lambda(n) := \frac{(\tau(n)^{1/\omega(n)} - 1)\omega(n) \log \omega(n)}{\log n}.$$

# Comments on the result 1

- We introduce the function  $\lambda(n)$  defined implicitly by

$$\tau(n) = \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda(n) \log n}{\omega(n) \log \omega(n)} \right)^{\omega(n)},$$

when  $\omega(n) \geq 2$ . Therefore, for each integer  $n \geq 2$  with  $\omega(n) \geq 2$ , we set

$$\lambda(n) := \frac{(\tau(n)^{1/\omega(n)} - 1)\omega(n) \log \omega(n)}{\log n}.$$



$$\tau(n) < \left( 1 + \frac{\log n}{\omega(n) \log \omega(n)} \right)^{\omega(n)} \iff \lambda(n) < 1$$

# Comments on the result 2



# Comments on the result 2

- The Theorem is best possible since the integer

$$n_0 = 2^{13} \cdot 3^8 \cdot 5^5 \cdot 7^4 \cdot 11^3 \cdot 13^3 \cdot 17^3 \cdot 19^2 \cdots 53^2 \cdot 59 \cdots 367$$

satisfies  $\omega(n_0) = 73$  and  $\lambda(n_0) = 1.0008832 \dots$ . They are many other examples but  $n_0$  is the unique such integer that maximises the function  $\lambda$ .

# Comments on the result 2

- The Theorem is best possible since the integer

$$n_0 = 2^{13} \cdot 3^8 \cdot 5^5 \cdot 7^4 \cdot 11^3 \cdot 13^3 \cdot 17^3 \cdot 19^2 \cdots 53^2 \cdot 59 \cdots 367$$

satisfies  $\omega(n_0) = 73$  and  $\lambda(n_0) = 1.0008832 \dots$ . They are many other examples but  $n_0$  is the unique such integer that maximises the function  $\lambda$ .

- Using the same methods, we can show that

$$n_1 = 2^{13} \cdot 3^8 \cdot 5^5 \cdot 7^4 \cdot 11^3 \cdot 13^3 \cdot 17^3 \cdot 19^2 \cdots 53^2 \cdot 59 \cdots 373$$

is the only integer that realises the maximum of  $\lambda$  when restricted to  $\omega(n) = 74$ . We have  $\lambda(n_1) = 0.99991077 \dots$

# Comments on the result 3

# Comments on the result 3

- There are only finitely many integers  $n$  with  $\omega(n) \geq 44$  such that  $\lambda(n) > 1$ . In fact, none of these numbers  $n$  exceeds  $\exp(10758.21)$ . One such large  $n$  with  $\omega(n) = 44$  is the one whose exponent vector is

(354, 223, 152, 125, 102, 95, 86, 83, 77, 72, 71, 67, 65,  
64, 63, 61, 59, 59, 57, 57, 56, 55, 55, 54, 53, 52, 52, 52,  
51, 51, 50, 49, 49, 49, 48, 48, 48, 47, 47, 47, 46, 46, 46, 46),

and this number  $n$  has 4622 digits and its size is about  $\exp(10640.84)$ . Moreover, it can be established that any other integer  $n$  with  $\lambda(n) > 1$  and  $\omega(n) \geq 45$  is less than  $\exp(4569.68)$ .

# Fondamental inequality

## Lemma (Somasundaram (1987))

For every integer  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\tau(n) \leq \left( \frac{\log(n\gamma(n))}{\omega(n)} \right)^{\omega(n)} \prod_{p|n} \frac{1}{\log p}.$$

## Key lemma

## Lemma

Let  $n \geq 2$  be an integer and  $p$  a prime number. If  $p^\alpha \parallel n$  with  $\alpha \geq 2$ , then

$$\frac{\lambda(n)}{\lambda(n/p)} \leq \left(1 + \frac{2}{\alpha\omega(n)}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\log p}{\log n}\right).$$

## Elementary estimates

## Lemma

We have

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \log p_i \leq k(\log k + \log \log k - 1/2) \quad \text{for } k \geq 5,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \log \log p_i \geq k \left( \log \log k + \frac{\log \log k - 3/2}{\log k} \right) \quad \text{for } k \geq 6$$

and

$$\prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{\log p_i} < (\log k)^{-k} \quad \text{for } k \geq 44.$$

# Sketching the proof of the main theorem 1



# Sketching the proof of the main theorem 1

- For obvious reasons, the largest values of  $\lambda$  are achieved by integers of the form

$$\prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i} \quad \text{with } \alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_k,$$

where the  $p_i$ 's are the primes in ascending order.

# Sketching the proof of the main theorem 1

- For obvious reasons, the largest values of  $\lambda$  are achieved by integers of the form

$$\prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i} \quad \text{with } \alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_k,$$

where the  $p_i$ 's are the primes in ascending order.

- Using the fundamental inequality and the elementary estimates, we rule out the cases with  $k > 94$ .

# Sketching the proof of the main theorem 1

- For obvious reasons, the largest values of  $\lambda$  are achieved by integers of the form

$$\prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i} \quad \text{with } \alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_k,$$

where the  $p_i$ 's are the primes in ascending order.

- Using the fundamental inequality and the elementary estimates, we rule out the cases with  $k > 94$ .
- Also, again with the fundamental inequality, we get an upper bound for any possible counterexample  $n$  with  $74 \leq \omega(n) \leq 94$ .

# Sketching the proof of the main theorem 2

# Sketching the proof of the main theorem 2

- We specialise the structure of the possible counterexample  $n$  to

$$n := p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_{j_2}^{\alpha_{j_2}} \cdot p_{j_2+1}^2 \cdots p_{j_1}^2 \cdot p_{j_1+1} \cdots p_k.$$

# Sketching the proof of the main theorem 2

- We specialise the structure of the possible counterexample  $n$  to

$$n := p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_{j_2}^{\alpha_{j_2}} \cdot p_{j_2+1}^2 \cdots p_{j_1}^2 \cdot p_{j_1+1} \cdots p_k.$$

- The upper bound and the structure limit the possible values for  $(j_1, j_2)$ .

# Sketching the proof of the main theorem 2

- We specialise the structure of the possible counterexample  $n$  to

$$n := p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_{j_2}^{\alpha_{j_2}} \cdot p_{j_2+1}^2 \cdots p_{j_1}^2 \cdot p_{j_1+1} \cdots p_k.$$

- The upper bound and the structure limit the possible values for  $(j_1, j_2)$ .
- Using the multiplicativity of  $\tau$  and the fundamental inequality we are lead to define the function

$$f_1(j_2, j_1, k, z) := \frac{(c_1(j_2, j_1, k)(\log z + c_2(j_2, j_1, k))^{j_2/k} - 1)k \log k}{\log z}.$$

# Sketching the proof of the main theorem 3



## Sketching the proof of the main theorem 3

- This function has the property

$$\lambda(n) \leq f_1(j_2, j_1, k, n) \leq \max_{z > -c_2(j_2, j_1, k)} f_1(j_2, j_1, k, z).$$

## Sketching the proof of the main theorem 3

- This function has the property

$$\lambda(n) \leq f_1(j_2, j_1, k, n) \leq \max_{z > -c_2(j_2, j_1, k)} f_1(j_2, j_1, k, z).$$

- We do all the computations and keep the couple  $(j_1, k)$  only if we obtain  $f_1 \geq 1$  for some  $j_2 \leq j_1$ .

# Sketching the proof of the main theorem 3

- This function has the property

$$\lambda(n) \leq f_1(j_2, j_1, k, n) \leq \max_{z > -c_2(j_2, j_1, k)} f_1(j_2, j_1, k, z).$$

- We do all the computations and keep the couple  $(j_1, k)$  only if we obtain  $f_1 \geq 1$  for some  $j_2 \leq j_1$ .
- With the remaining possibilities, we do the same computation with one more variable on the integers

$$n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_{j_3}^{\alpha_{j_3}} \cdot p_{j_3+1}^3 \cdots p_{j_2}^3 \cdot p_{j_2+1}^2 \cdots p_{j_1}^2 \cdot p_{j_1+1} \cdots p_k.$$

# Sketching the proof of the main theorem 4

# Sketching the proof of the main theorem 4

- We have to do this step four times. For the third and the fourth step, we use the key lemma to bound the largest prime that can divide, up to the power 4, 5 and 6, the possible counterexample  $n$  that realises the maximum of  $\lambda$ .

# Sketching the proof of the main theorem 4

- We have to do this step four times. For the third and the fourth step, we use the key lemma to bound the largest prime that can divide, up to the power 4, 5 and 6, the possible counterexample  $n$  that realises the maximum of  $\lambda$ .
- The other results use the same type of ideas.

# Further remarks 1

## Further remarks 1

- One can show that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \left| \lambda(n) - \frac{\log \log x \log \log \log x}{\log x} \right|^2 \ll \frac{x \log \log x (\log \log \log x)^2}{\log^2 x},$$

from which we conclude that for almost all  $n \leq x$ ,

$$\lambda(n) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{\log \log x \log \log \log x}{\log x} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$



# Further remarks 1

- One can show that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \left| \lambda(n) - \frac{\log \log x \log \log \log x}{\log x} \right|^2 \ll \frac{x \log \log x (\log \log \log x)^2}{\log^2 x},$$

from which we conclude that for almost all  $n \leq x$ ,

$$\lambda(n) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{\log \log x \log \log \log x}{\log x} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

- There are finitely many numbers  $n$  with  $\omega(n) > 43$  for which  $\lambda(n) \geq 1$ .

## Further remarks 1

- One can show that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \left| \lambda(n) - \frac{\log \log x \log \log \log x}{\log x} \right|^2 \ll \frac{x \log \log x (\log \log \log x)^2}{\log^2 x},$$

from which we conclude that for almost all  $n \leq x$ ,

$$\lambda(n) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{\log \log x \log \log \log x}{\log x} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

- There are finitely many numbers  $n$  with  $\omega(n) > 43$  for which  $\lambda(n) \geq 1$ .
- The set of limit points of  $\lambda(n)$  is the interval

$$\left[ 0, \left( \prod_{i=1}^6 \frac{1}{\log p_i} \right)^{1/6} \log 6 \right] = [0, 1.145206 \dots].$$

# Further remarks 2

## Further remarks 2

- We have

$$|\{n \leq x : \lambda(n) \geq 1\}| = (\eta_4 + o(1)) \log^{43} x$$

where  $\eta_4$  is some absolute constant.

## Further remarks 2

- We have





$$|\{n \leq x : \lambda(n) \geq 1\}| = (\eta_4 + o(1)) \log^{43} x$$

where  $\eta_4$  is some absolute constant.





- By using the special numbers  $\prod_{i=1}^k p_i$  for the lower bound and the fundamental inequality for the upper bound, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\omega(n)=k} \lambda(n) &= 1 - \frac{\log \log k - 1}{\log k} + \frac{(\log \log k)^2 - 3 \log \log k}{\log^2 k} \\ &\quad + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^2 k}\right) \quad (k \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$




# Bibliography

-  M. Balazard, Sur la moyenne des exposants dans la décomposition en facteurs premiers, *Acta Arith.* **52** (1989), no. 1, 11–23.
-  Y. Buttkewitz, C. Elsholtz, K. Ford and J.-C. Schlage-Puchta, A problem of Ramanujan, Erdős and Kátai on the iterated divisor function, *Inter. Math. Research Notices*, 2012, 4051–4061.
-  J. M. De Koninck, Sums of quotients of additive functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **44** (1974), 35 – 38.
-  J. L. Duras, J.-L. Nicolas and G. Robin, Large values of the function  $d_k$ , *Number theory in progress*, Vol. 2 (Zakopane-Kościelisko, 1997), 743 – 770, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1999.

# Bibliography

-  P. Erdős and J.-L. Nicolas, Sur la fonction “nombre de facteurs premiers de  $n$ ”, *Séminaire Delange-Pisot-Poitou. Théorie des nombres*, tome 20, no. 2 (1978-1979), exp. no. 32, 1–19.
-  J. L. Nicolas and G. Robin, Majorations explicites pour le nombre de diviseurs de  $N$ , *Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. 26* (1983), no. 4, 485–492.
-  G. Robin, Méthodes d'optimisation pour un problème de théorie des nombres, *RAIRO-Informatique théorique*, tome 17, no. 3 (1983), 239–247.
-  J. B. Rosser and L. Schoenfeld, Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers, *Illinois J. Math.* **6** (1962), 64 – 94.

# Bibliography

-  D. Somasundaram, A divisor problem of Srinavasa Ramanujan in Notebook 3, *Math. Student* **55** (1987), no. 2-4, 175–176.
-  G. Tenenbaum, Introduction à la théorie analytique et probabiliste des nombres, 3<sup>e</sup> édition, Belin, 2008.
-  S. Wigert, Sur l'ordre grandeur du nombre de diviseurs d'un entier. *Ark. Mat.* **3**, no. 18 (1907), 1–9.